

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/29/2004

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 10/616,466 07/09/2003 Melissa Whitten Bryan 10148.10.U EXAMINER 7590 10/29/2004 Matthew W. Baca LEDYNH, BOT L Rogers Towers, P.A. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **Suite 1500** 1301 Riverplace Boulevard 2862 Jacksonville, FL 32207

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.                             | Applicant(s)           |  |
|---|---|------------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary   | 10/616,466                                  | BRYAN, MELISSA WHITTEN |  |
|   | Examiner                                    | Art Unit               |  |
|   | Bot LeDynh                                  | 2862                   |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  |   |                        |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |   |                        |  |
| Status  |   |                        |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  |   |                        |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This  | action is non-final.                        |                        |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |   |                        |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |                        |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |                        |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.   |   |                        |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |                        |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |   |                        |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |   |                        |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |                        |  |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.   |   |                        |  |
| Application Papers  |   |                        |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                        |  |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |                        |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |                        |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  |   |                        |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  |   |                        |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |                        |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>  |   |                        |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  |   |                        |  |
| Bl. Myru  |   |                        |  |
| Attachment(s)   | _   | Bot Ledynh             |  |
| <ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>  | 4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dal | PTO-4 Primary Examiner |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  | 5) Notice of Informal Pa                    |                        |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date   | 6)  |                        |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-11, drawn to an electromagnetic induction detection apparatus, classified in class 324, subclass 330.
- II. Claims 12-14, drawn to a method for obtaining multi-layer field conductivity profiles, classified in class 324, subclass 76.11.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product, such as holding the apparatus on the ground surface for locating landmines.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Attorney Baca on 10/22/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 2862

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bot LeDynh whose telephone number is 5712722231. The examiner can normally be reached on Maxiflex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, N. Le can be reached on 5712722235. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BL/ 2004

Bot LeDynh, JD, PhD, DA **Primary Examiner**